1		UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (2/29/2024)
2 3		UNITED ACADEMICS PROPOSAL (2/1/2024)
4	Document Key	
5	UA	new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
6 7	[excer	pt from Appendix 2 proposing to insert the language below to address the interest raised]
8		
9		APPENDIX 2: UNIT POLICY DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES
10 11	•••	
12 13	Tenu	re, Review, and Promotion (Tenured and Tenure-Track):
14 15 16	must a	<i>October 2023 UO UA Policy Development MOU</i>] Each department's or unit's policy articulate criteria within their policies that clarify the expectations for faculty activity in ch and scholarship.
17 18 19	Reviews, Tenure, and Promotion	
20		
21	[end e	excerpt from Appendix 2]
22 23	3 ARTICLE 4. UNIT-LEVEL POLICIES	
24	Pream	-11-
25 26	Fream	ibie
27	As a principle of equity and shared governance, unit-level policies for review, promotion and	
28 29	members within each unit and academic discipline.	
29 30	menno	ers within each unit and academic discipline.
31	Sectio	n 1. Initiation.
32	0	New departments or units. Each new department or unit will shall develop a written
33 34	a.	policy delineating its procedures for the internal governance of the department or unit,
35		merit review, professional responsibilities, review and promotion, summer session, and
36		professional development. Guidelines for these policies are described in Appendix 2.
37		professional development. Guidelines for these ponetes are described in Appendix 2.
38	b.	Administration-initiated revision. The department or unit head, dean, vice president,
39		or the Office of the Provost may call for changes to the established policy of a
40		department or unit by informing the unit faculty of the change being considered, thereby
41		initiating the unit's process for policy revision.
42		
43	с.	Faculty-initiated revision. Any d Department or unit faculty members, either through
44		a governance committee or at a regular faculty meeting, may call for changes to an
45		established unit-level policy by notifying the faculty, or department or unit head, of a
46		change to be considered. Calls for revision of a department or unit policy will shall be
47		assigned to a governance committee or considered at a regular faculty meeting within

- 48 $120 \frac{60}{60}$ days of the notification of the proposed change.
- d. University-Union revisions. The Office of the Provost will communicate to
 departments or units any agreements between the University and the Union that modify
 provisions of an established department or unit policy. Department or unit policy
 documents will be updated on the Provost's website within 90 days of the agreement.
 Policy revisions under this subsection are not subject to the process outlined in
 Sections 2 and 3.
- 56
 57 e. Existing departments without unit policies. Departments and units that have not
 58 developed internal governance policies for merit review, professional responsibilities,
 59 review and promotion, summer session, and professional development shall develop
 60 such policies by Fall of 2025. College level policies will not constitute unit level
 61 policies for such departments and units.
- Section 2. Development and revision of policies. All faculty in each department or unit shall 63 64 have the opportunity to participate in the development and revision of that department's or unit's policies. At the outset of policy formulation or revision, the faculty will first consider 65 any input provided by the appropriate governance committee, department or unit head, dean, 66 vice president, Provost, or designee. If the faculty has amended the policy change suggested by 67 the administrator in Section 1.b., they will submit both their amended policy and the 68 administrator-suggested policy to the dean or vice president. Policies submitted for revision 69 70 must adhere to guidelines for document format and version control established by the Office of the Provost and posted on their website. Such templates shall only specify the form that such 71 policies shall take and not the content therein. 72
- 73

62

49

- Section 3. Review, Approval, and Enactment. After the department or unit process for policy
 development is complete, the faculty will submit their recommended policy to the appropriate
 dean or vice president's office for review. Within 45 days of submission of the facultyapproved policy, the dean, vice president, or designee will provide a written notice and
- 78 explanation of any non-grammatical alterations they propose to make to the faculty-approved
- policy before submission to the Office of the Provost to the department or unit faculty
 members and, upon request of faculty, meet with the unit faculty.
- 81
- If the dean has amended the faculty-approved policy, they will send both their amended policy and the faculty-approved policy to the Office of the Provost for review. The Office of the Provost will have final authority to establish policy for each department or unit. The Office of the Provost will post the established policy on their website and send it to the dean and the department or unit head within 60 days of the receipt of the material from the dean. The department or unit head shall send it to the faculty in the unit within 30 days. The timelines
- 88 above also apply to policy review, distribution, and posting where the Office of the Provost has
- delegated review and approval to the deans.
- 91 Section 4. Internal Shared Governance. Policies for internal governance must include
- 92 provisions for appropriate documentation of decisions and for the appropriate and
- 93 equitable participation of faculty in the Tenure-Track and Tenured and Career
- 94 classifications in governance and the development of departmental or unit policies.

- 95 The participation must be appropriate. Appropriate participation includes, but is 96 a. not limited to, departmental activities such as unit meetings, voting, and committee 97 membership. There must be documented and legitimate structural, pedagogical, or 98 programmatic reasons for determining that a class of faculty (TTF or Career), a 99 particular classification, a particular rank, or a particular FTE level should not 100 participate in a particular aspect of governance. 101 102 b. When participation is appropriate, it must also be equitable. Equitable participation 103 requires a level of parity that allows TTF and Career faculty in a department or unit to 104 105 have a meaningful role in governance. Equitable participation does not mean that governance roles for every faculty member must be exactly the same or that there must 106 be absolute proportionality in governance for all faculty classifications and ranks. 107 108 c. Career faculty whose teaching is primarily at the undergraduate level (e.g. instructors) 109 may participate and vote on undergraduate curricular matters. Career faculty whose 110 teaching is primarily at the graduate level (e.g. lecturers) may participate and vote on 111 graduate curricular matters. Career faculty whose teaching is routinely at both levels may 112 participate and vote at both levels. 113 114 Section 5. Periodic Review. All unit policies developed through the internal governance 115 process, including but not limited to, merit review, professional responsibilities, review and 116 promotion, summer session, and professional development should be periodically reviewed if 117 more than five years old following upon ratification of a successor agreement to ensure that 118 119 they align comply with and reflect current CBA language and current university policy. If a 120 unit level policy is changed as the result of an agreement between the parties, the University 121 will clearly communicate the changes to each unit. 122 123 Section 6. Timelines in this Article are paused for the duration of academic breaks and between
- academic years.